

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TEHRAN 03129 221323Z

53

ACTION NEA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 EA-11 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03

SS-20 USIA-15 AID-20 ACDA-19 SAM-01 OMB-01 NIC-01

DRC-01 /166 W

----- 010906

R 221250Z APR 74

FM AMEMBASSY TEHRAN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6185  
AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI  
INFO AMEMBASSY BEIRUT  
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA  
AMEMBASSY DACCA  
AMEMBASSY JAKARTA  
AMEMBASSY KABUL  
AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMCONSUL BOMBAY  
AMCONSUL CALCUTTA  
AMCONSUL MADRAS  
CINCPAC  
CINCEUR  
AMEMBASSY IALAMBAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L TEHRAN 3129

BEIRUT PASS BAGHDAD; CINCPAC & CINCEUR FOR POLAD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, MARR, MILI, IN, IR

SUBJECT: VISIT OF IIN COMMANDER TO INDIA

REF: NEW DELHI 5219

SUMMARY: WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT VISIT OF REAR ADMIRAL ATTAL,  
IIN COMMANDER, TO INDIA MARCH 27 TO APRIL 6, HAD MUCH SIGNIFI-  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TEHRAN 03129 221323Z

CANCE IN MILITARY TERMS. RATHER IT SHOULD BE VIEWED AS AN

ELEMENT IN THE GENERALLY IMPROVING IRAN-INDIA TIES. END SUMMARY.

1. ATTAI TOLD US ON HIS RETURN FROM TRIP THAT IT HAD GONE VERY WELL, BUT OFFERED NO SUBSTANTIVE COMMENT. COL MANSINGH, INDIAN MILITARY ATTACHE WHO ACCOMPANIED ATTAI, WAS MORE FORTHCOMING. HE SAID INDIANS HAD INVITED ATTAI RATHER THAN MORE SENIOR IRANIAN MILITARY LEADERS BECAUSE HE WAS YOUNGER AND THEY THOUGHT HE PROBABLY HAD LONGER TENURE IN PROSPECT. INDIANS KNEW ATTAI EXERCISED NO IMPORTANT AUTHORITY OVER NAVAL POLICY AND, IN FACT, THERE HAD BEEN NO DISCUSSIONS OF SUBSTANCE WITH HIM. MANSINGH DESCRIBED ATTAI AS NEITHER BOOKISH NOR PARTICULARLY BRIGHT, BUT QUITE OPEN AND A STRONG LEADER OF MEN--EXACTLY WHAT IIN REQUIRED. HE SAID ATTAI WAS A GOOD LISTENER WHO VENTURED NO OPINIONS OTHER THAN STATEMENTS OF THE IRANIAN POSITION ON INDIAN OCEAN AND OTHER MATTERS. VISIT HAD SUCCEEDED IN ITS PRIMARY PURPOSES WHICH WAS FURTHER STRENGTHENING OF OVERALL INDIAN RELATIONS WITH IRAN. HE SAID INDIANS DID NOT CONTEMPLATE ANY PUSH FOR CLOSE MILITARY COOPERATION WITH IRAN.

2. W RAISED QUESTION OF POSSIBLE IRANIAN PURCHASES OF INDIAN ARMAMENTS WITH INDIAN EMBASSY COUNSELOR PRAKASH SHAH WHO DISMISSED IT AS VERY UNLIKELY. IRANIANS WANT ONLY NEWEST, MOST SOPHISTICATED WEAPONS, HE SAID, AND INDIA IS NOT IN THAT LEAGUE. FOR EXAMPLE, INDIA HAD AGREED TO BUILD CEMENT PLANT NEAR ISFAHAN ONLY TO HAVE IRANIANS STIPULATE LATER THAT PLANT MUST BE COMPUTER-RUN WITH NO MORE THAN SIX-MAN STAFF. INDIA DROPPED OUT.

3. SOME IDEA OF KIND OF LINE INDIANS ARE FEEDING IRAN MAY HAVE COME FROM PRAKASH SHAH WHO SPOKE OF GROWING PAKISTANI MILITARY TIES TO GULF STATES. QTE WE ONLY KNOW OF ABU DHABI AND KUWAIT SO FAR, BUT PAKISTAN IS SEEKING OTHER OPPORTUNITIES FOR MILITARY COOPERATION. UNQTE THIS COULD MEAN TROUBLE FOR IRAN, HE SPECULATED, FOR WHEN ARAB-ISRAEL CRISIS WAS PHASED DOWN ARAB ENERGIES WOULD TURN TO GULF. PAKISTAN COULD BE INVOLVED ON SIDE OF ARABS.

COMMENT: WE EXPECT IRAN-INDIAN MILITARY COOPERATION TO KEEP PACE WITH OVERALL TIES. THERE WILL PROBABLY BE OTHER EXCHANGES OF HIGH-LEVEL VISITS, RECIPROCAL TRAINING, NAVAL PORT CALLS AND POSSIBLY EVENTUALLY JOINT EXERCISES. BUT THESE ACTIVITIES WILL BE LIMITED AND SUPERFICIAL FOR SOME TIME TO COME. IN IIN HAS TOO MANY DEOELOPMENT/MODERNIZATION TASKS AHEAD TO ENGAGE IN SUBSTANTIVE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TEHRAN 03129 221323Z

PROGRAMS WITH INDIA, A COUNTRY THAT FEW IRANIANS FEEL CAN MAKE A SIGNIFICANT, TECHNICAL CONTRIBUTION TO IRAN'S PROGRESS.  
HELMS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MILITARY PERSONNEL, MILITARY ASSISTANCE, MILITARY VISITS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 22 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974TEHRAN03129  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740093-0824  
**From:** TEHRAN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740423/aaaaaumf.tel  
**Line Count:** 110  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION NEA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** NEW DELHI 5219  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 26 JUN 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <26 JUN 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <26 MAR 2003 by BoyleJA>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** VISIT OF IIN COMMANDER TO INDIA  
**TAGS:** PFOR, MARR, MILI, IN, IR, (ATTAI)  
**To:** STATE NEW DELHI  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005